IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| Tasia Williams and Vincent Doyle, | § § | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Plaintiffs, | § § § | |
| v. | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:20-CV-1526- |
| | § | L |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| CITY OF DALLAS, TEXAS; | § | |
| ULYSHA RENEE HALL Chief | § | |
| of Police, Dallas Police | § | |
| Department. In her individual | § | |
| capacity; and JOHN DOE | § | |
| POLICE OFFICERS 1–50, | § | |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Defendants. | § | |

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A 30-DAY EXTENSION

Now into Court comes Plaintiffs, Tasia Williams and Vincent Doyle, responding to Defendant City of Dallas, Chief Hall, and John Doe Officer 1-50, motion for extension of time to respond to Plaintiffs' Discovery requests:

- 1. On or about January 12, 2021 Defendants requested a thirty-day extension. (Exhibit A). At no time did the Defendants explain the request was related to Covid-19 restrictions on personnel.
- 2. Plaintiffs agreed to the thirty-day extension, with the caveat that Defendants identify the individual officers that fired the aerial projectiles (i.e., rubber bullets, 40 MM) that caused the injuries sustained by both Brandon Saenz, Vincent Doyle, and Tasia Williams. (Exhibit

- A). Identifying these officers in mid-February will severely prejudice Plaintiffs as it relates to Plaintiffs deposition efforts and this Court's Scheduling Order. More importantly, Plaintiffs contend that these pertinent witnesses should have been disclosed in Defendants' 26(a) Disclosures produced on October 9, 2021.
- 3. On January 14, 2021, at approximately 7:55 PM, the Parties had a telephonic meet and confer wherein, the Defendants made clear they have the requested information and identity of the officer(s) who shot Brandon Saenz and Plaintiffs Vincent Doyle and Tasia Williams, but needed to confirm with a Dallas Police Department ("DPD") detective. Each of these witnesses were disclosed in Plaintiffs 26(a) Disclosures and were thoroughly pled in Plaintiffs' Complaint to allege their *Monell* claims.
- 4. During the meet and confer, Defendants explained they identified the officers involved in all shootings but needed to confirm one last time with a Dallas Police Detective. Plaintiffs proposed a one-day extension to resolve this disclosure issue and otherwise had no objection to the discovery extension—provided the names of these officers were disclosed to ensure that discovery is not unduly delayed.
- 5. Defendants advised Plaintiffs, without explanation, that they would not provide the name of the officer who shot Brandon Saenz.
- 6. Plaintiffs explained that extending another thirty days (February 15, 2021), severely prejudices Plaintiffs to obtain enough information to identify the officers before the Court's deadline to amend and add Parties. The Scheduling Order currently requires the Joinder of Parties or Amendment of Pleadings by March 1, 2021.
- 7. Plaintiffs agreed to provide the Defendants time to speak with the DPD detective and confirm the identity of at least Tasia Williams and Vincent Doyle, and the Parties would be at

an impasse with regard to the identity of the officer who shot Brandon Saenz. In exchange,

Plaintiffs could mitigate some of the anticipated prejudice from the extension to respond to

discovery request, and consent to a two-week extension. (Exhibit A)

8. Moreover, Plaintiffs further noted for the record, that on August 14, 2021,

Defendant Chief Hall authored and presented an 85-page "After-Action" report detailing all

evidence, identity of officers, recommendations, and several patterns of civil rights violations.

9. On October 9, 2021, the Defendant served its initial disclosures, but failed to

produce the After-Action report ("Report"), the evidence gathered in the Report, all relevant

witnesses obtained in the Report, and several critical pieces of evidence *already* in the possession

of the Defendants compiled by Defendant, Chief Hall.

10. Thus, Plaintiffs objection to the extension is well founded because many of the

requests for production of documents and written responses have already been completed in

Defendant Hall's 85-page After-Action Report. Plaintiffs made clear, there was no reason these

documents were not produced in Defendants' Rule 26 (a) Disclosures.

11. Accordingly, Plaintiffs object to the extension of time for thirty-days, and in the

alternative request that the Court order the Defendants to supplement its 26 (a) disclosures within

seven-days identifying all witnesses and the officers who fired the aerial projectiles (i.e., rubber

bullets, 40 MM) which struck Brandon Saenz, Vincent Doyle, and Tasia Williams, as pled in

Plaintiffs' Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 20, 2021

/s/ Adam S. Greenfield

Adam S. Greenfield

Texas Bar No. 24075494

Admitted

CLOUTMAN & GREENFIELD, PLLC

3301 Elm Street Dallas, Texas 75226 214.642.7486

agreenfield@candglegal.com

*Board Certified in Labor & Employment Law by the Texas Board of Legal Specialization

Michelle Simpson Tuegel

TX Bar No. 24075187

Admitted

THE SIMPSON TUEGEL LAW FIRM
3301 Elm St.

Dallas, Texas 75226
214-774-9121 (P)
214-614-9218 (F)
michelle@stfirm.com

Daryl K. Washington

TX Bar No. 24013714

Admitted

WASHINGTON LAW FIRM, PC
325 N. St. Paul St., Suite 3950

Dallas, Texas 75201

214-880-4883 (P) 214-751-6685 (F)

dwashington@dwashlawfirm.com

Morgan A. McPheeters

TX Bar No. 24081279

Admitted

MCPHEETERS LAW, PLLC

4447 N. Central Expy., Suite 101 #158

Dallas, Texas 75205

469-862-8233 (P)

morgan@mcpheeterslaw.com

Jessica Foster

TX Bar No. 24094123

Admitted

1408 N. Riverfront Blvd., Suite 241

Dallas, Texas 75207

(214) 865-9742 (P)

ifoster@jfosterlegal.com

George Oginni

TX Bar No. 24108191

Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending LEO & OGINNI TRIAL LAWYERS, PLLC 3701 Kirby, Suite 1184 Houston, Texas 77098 (713) 280-3204 (P) george@helpishere.law

COUNSELS FOR PLAINTIFFS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent on this 20th day of January, 2021, via email to the following:

Christopher Caso

City Attorney

chris.caso@dallascityhall.com

Tatia R. Wilson

Executive Assistant City Attorney

tatia.wilson@dallascityhall.com

DALLAS CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

1500 Marilla St., 7DN

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 671-9553 (P)

(214) 670-0622 (F)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

/s/ Adam S. Greenfield

Adam S. Greenfield